

Stephanie Kost

From: CMEGGS762@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2004 1:53 AM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: "A LA CARTE"

FYI,

THIS IS A COPY OF THE EMAIL I HAVE SENT TO MY CONGRESSMAN. PLEASE DON'T LET THIS PASS.

DEAR SIR:

I HAVE RECENTLY LEARNED OF THE "A LA CARTE" PROPOSAL AND AM WRITING IN HOPE THAT YOU WILL NOT SUPPORT THIS.

I WATCH 2 SHOWS WEEKLY WHILE OUT OF TOWN FOR WORK THAT WILL BE CUT OUT IF THIS PASSES. THESE SHOWS ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME AND HELP ME TO RECHARGE FROM THE STRESS OF WORK. I AM A NURSE AND MY PATIENTS ALSO WATCH THESE SHOWS AND THEY NEED THEM. IT GIVES THEM HOPE. THE HOSPITAL DOES NOT SUPPLY CABLE. PLEASE DON'T SUPPORT THIS.

THERE ARE ALSO THE PEOPLE IN JAIL TO CONSIDER. I DOUBT THEY GIVE THEM CABLE THERE AND THIS IS THE BEST PROGRAMMING THEY COULD POSSIBLY GET.

PLEASE DON'T LET THIS PASS.

THANK YOU, CHERYL AUTRY

Stephanie Kost

From: David Krywinski [dkrywinski@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 5:02 PM
To: FCCINFO; Commissioner Adelstein; Kathleen Abernathy; KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Michael Powell; chairman@gop.com; chairmanracicot@georgewbush.com; gopteamleader@gopteamleader.com; info@gop.com; national.coalition@donationnet.net; campaignmanager@rosadelauro.com; arlen_specter@specter.senate.gov; chuck_hagel@hagel.senate.gov; dick@durbin.senate.gov; jim_bunning@bunning.senate.gov; john_mccain@mccain.senate.gov; kit_bond@bond.senate.gov; mailbox@gregg.senate.gov; mailbox@sununu.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; russell_feingold@feingold.senate.gov; saxby_chambliss@chambliss.senate.gov; senator@akaka.senate.gov; senator@biden.senate.gov; senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@cochran.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov; senator@enzy.senate.gov; senator@kennedy.senate.gov; senator@mcconnell.senate.gov; senator@nickles.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@sessions.senate.gov; senator@shelby.senate.gov; senator@warner.senate.gov; senator_dewine@dewine.senate.gov; senator_domenici@domenici.senate.gov; senator_kohl@kohl.senate.gov; senator_leahy@leahy.senate.gov; senator_lugar@lugar.senate.gov; senator_talent@talent.senate.gov; senator_voinovich@voinovich.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov; president@whitehouse.gov; vice.president@whitehouse.gov
Subject: OPPOSE a la carte per-channel-charge

David M. Krywinski
76 Scenic View Drive
Middletown, CT 06457

I urge you to OPPOSE "a la carte" per-channel-charge, which would LIMIT broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

[Check out Election 2004 for up-to-date election news, plus voter tools and more!](#)

Stephanie Kost

From: Davy77boy@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 2:21 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Kevin Martin, I want to let you know that I oppose "A La Carte Cable Regulation." Our forefathers would be rolling over in their graves if they knew how badly the USA has cut out many ties to Religion and the Bible. Many of our first Presidents and Congressmen were Christians, and it saddens me to think The U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are trying to severely hinder the preaching of the gospel through cable television. Have a nice day and Thanks for your time.

David Hansen

Stephanie Kost

From: Davy77boy@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 2:20 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Michael Copps, I want to let you know that I oppose "A La Carte Cable Regulation." Our forefathers would be rolling over in their graves if they knew how badly the USA has cut out many ties to Religion and the Bible. Many of our first Presidents and Congressmen were Christians, and it saddens me to think The U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are trying to severely hinder the preaching of the gospel through cable television. Have a nice day and Thanks for your time.

David Hansen

Stephanie Kost

From: Davy77boy@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 2:20 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Kathleen Abernathy, I want to let you know that I oppose "A La Carte Cable Regulation." Our forefathers would be rolling over in their graves if they knew how badly the USA has cut out many ties to Religion and the Bible. Many of our first Presidents and Congressmen were Christians, and it saddens me to think The U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are trying to severely hinder the preaching of the gospel through cable television. Have a nice day and Thanks for your time.

David Hansen

Stephanie Kost

From: Davy77boy@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 2:19 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Michael Powell, I want to let you know that I oppose "A La Carte Cable Regulation." Our forefathers would be rolling over in their graves if they knew how badly the USA has cut out many ties to Religion and the Bible. Many of our first Presidents and Congressmen were Christians, and it saddens me to think The U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are trying to severely hinder the preaching of the gospel through cable television. Have a nice day and Thanks for your time.

David Hansen

Stephanie Kost

From: denise goode [deniseggoode@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 2:43 PM
To: Michael Powell

Dear Chairman Powell:

I oppose the A La Carte Cable Regulation. This proposal will devastate the ability to broadcast religious programming. This will undermine religious broadcasters from spreading the Gospel around the world. I am a partner of Benny Hinn Ministries and this show has helped my family through many rough times. This proposal will effect 100 million viewers of religious shows, such as Benny Hinn. People have the right to hear the Gospel over the airwaves and receive religious guidance. My husband has multiple sclerosis and cannot get to church, so these programs give him the spiritual direction, as well as myself, that we need through television.

Please do not let this proposal pass. It will be devastating to millions of viewers.

Thank you for your time.

Denise Goode

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!

Stephanie Kost

From: Helen Gruenhut [hotfudgencream@charter.net]
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 12:19 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

Please know that I oppose the A La Carte Cable Regulation. I am on social security and a little savings. If this went into law, I could not enjoy the religious channels, which mean so much to my life. This is the best news on TV. Please don't blot it out for people like me. I have contacted my member of Congress.
Helen Gruenhut

Stephanie Kost

From: HUFFORS@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, October 02, 2004 8:33 AM
To: Michael Powell
Cc: Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Against A-La-Carte

I am registering my disagreement over the proposed A-La-Carte per channel charge that would limit broadcast ministries in this nation. You should not be the thought police limiting free speech and ideas. I expect the FCC to keep nudity, immorality, and inappropriate cursing off the airways. Since when has religious programming become dangerous to the public.

Please do not proceed with this idea.

Respectfully,

Mark Huffor
Marco Island, FL

Stephanie Kost

From: J. [easy1on1@netzero.com]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 8:58 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: RE: The "ala carte pay per-channel" proposal

Here is a copy of the letter that I sent to my Congressman (Lee Terry) regarding the "ala crate pay per-channel" being proposed by congress and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

Letter:

I do not want the religious programs that my family and myself enjoy to be cut off with the "ala crate" per-channel-charge being imposed by Congress and the Federal Communications Commission, to LIMIT broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

As my congressman, I demand that you strike down this outrageous proposal (the "ala carte cable regulation") and PROTECT FREE SPEECH.

Sincerely,

James Kyles

Stephanie Kost

From: J. [easy1on1@netzero.com]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 8:57 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: RE: The "ala carte pay per-channel" proposal

Here is a copy of the letter that I sent to my Congressman (Lee Terry) regarding the "ala crate pay per-channel" being proposed by congress and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

Letter:

I do not want the religious programs that my family and myself enjoy to be cut off with the "ala crate" per-channel-charge being imposed by Congress and the Federal Communications Commission, to LIMIT broadcast ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming.

As my congressman, I demand that you strike down this outrageous proposal (the "ala carte cable regulation") and PROTECT FREE SPEECH.

Sincerely,

James Kyles

Stephanie Kost

From: James Davis [jmb12@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2004 3:59 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: all carte

Dear Senators Hutchison

Cornyn

Representative Stenholm:

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called **"a la carte"** that would be devastating to the ability of **religious broadcasters, to reach many with the gospel!**

It is also understood that under this proposal, only those consumers who specifically order and pay for specific cable, channels would receive religious broadcasting.

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the "a la carte" proposal has been the subject of congressional hearings and the FCC held a public forum on the proposal on July 28. **This forum was not very well-publicized as there is a number of us who spend a lot of time keeping up with what is going on in Washington and around the world!**

Senators Hutchison, Cornyn and Representative Stenholm, as our (my) Representatives in Congress **this most not happen!!!!!!!!!!!!!!** There are those who are doing everything in their power to **sanitize America from Christian influence! We Christians, have been treated like "dogs" while the secular humanist and other special interest groups have been given the red carpet treatment! There are those who are trying to eradicate Christian influence! WE ARE BEING PERSECUTED! WE ARE VERY, VERY TIRED OF BEING PERSECUTED!**

AGAIN , THIS PROPOSAL MUST BE KILLED EVEN BEFORE IT COMES TO A VOTE BEFORE THE CONGRESS. AS OUR REPRESENTATIVES IN CONGRESS WE ARE LOOKING TO YOU TO SEE THAT THIS IS DONE! We will be keeping in touch and watching.

Yours in Christ,

James Davis

cc: FCC, everyone on my e-mail address list

Stephanie Kost

From: James Davis [jmb12@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2004 3:59 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: all carte

Dear Senators Hutchison

Cornyn

Representative Stenholm:

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called "**a la carte**" that would be devastating to the ability of **religious broadcasters, to reach many with the gospel!**

It is also understood that under this proposal, only those consumers who specifically order and pay for specific cable, channels would receive religious broadcasting.

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the "a la carte" proposal has been the subject of congressional hearings and the FCC held a public forum on the proposal on July 28. **This forum was not very well-publicized as there is a number of us who spend a lot of time keeping up with what is going on in Washington and around the world!**

Senators Hutchison, Cornyn and Representative Stenholm, as our (my) Representatives in Congress **this most not happen!!!!!!!!!!!!!! There are those who are doing everything in their power to sanitize America from Christian influence! We Christians, have been treated like "dogs" while the secular humanist and other special interest groups have been given the red carpet treatment! There are those who are trying to eradicate Christian influence! WE ARE BEING PERSECUTED! WE ARE VERY, VERY TIRED OF BEING PERSECUTED!**

AGAIN , THIS PROPOSAL MUST BE KILLED EVEN BEFORE IT COMES TO A VOTE BEFORE THE CONGRESS. AS OUR REPRESENTATIVES IN CONGRESS WE ARE LOOKING TO YOU TO SEE THAT THIS IS DONE! We will be keeping in touch and watching.

Yours in Christ,

James Davis

cc: FCC, everyone on my e-mail address list

Stephanie Kost

From: James Davis [jmb12@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2004 3:59 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: all carte

Dear Senators Hutchison

Cornyn

Representative Stenholm:

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called **"a la carte" that would be devastating to the ability of religious broadcasters, to reach many with the gospel!**

It is also understood that under this proposal, only those consumers who specifically order and pay for specific cable, channels would receive religious broadcasting.

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the "a la carte" proposal has been the subject of congressional hearings and the FCC held a public forum on the proposal on July 28. **This forum was not very well-publicized as there is a number of us who spend a lot of time keeping up with what is going on in Washington and around the world!**

Senators Hutchison, Cornyn and Representative Stenholm, as our (my) Representatives in Congress **this most not happen!!!!!!!!!!!!!! There are those who are doing everything in their power to sanitize America from Christian influence! We Christians, have been treated like "dogs" while the secular humanist and other special interest groups have been given the red carpet treatment! There are those who are trying to eradicate Christian influence! WE ARE BEING PERSECUTED! WE ARE VERY, VERY TIRED OF BEING PERSECUTED!**

AGAIN , THIS PROPOSAL MUST BE KILLED EVEN BEFORE IT COMES TO A VOTE BEFORE THE CONGRESS. AS OUR REPRESENTATIVES IN CONGRESS WE ARE LOOKING TO YOU TO SEE THAT THIS IS DONE! We will be keeping in touch and watching.

Yours in Christ,

James Davis

cc: FCC, everyone on my e-mail address list

Stephanie Kost

From: James Davis [jmb12@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2004 3:58 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: all carte

Dear Senators Hutchison

Cornyn

Representative Stenholm:

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called "a la carte" **that would be devastating to the ability of religious broadcasters, to reach many with the gospel!**

It is also understood that under this proposal, only those consumers who specifically order and pay for specific cable, channels would receive religious broadcasting.

It has been brought to my attention, as well as others, that the "a la carte" proposal has been the subject of congressional hearings and the FCC held a public forum on the proposal on July 28. **This forum was not very well-publicized as there is a number of us who spend a lot of time keeping up with what is going on in Washington and around the world!**

Senators Hutchison, Cornyn and Representative Stenholm, as our (my) Representatives in Congress **this most not happen!!!!!!!!!!!!!! There are those who are doing everything in their power to sanitize America from Christian influence! We Christians, have been treated like "dogs" while the secular humanist and other special interest groups have been given the red carpet treatment! There are those who are trying to eradicate Christian influence! WE ARE BEING PERSECUTED! WE ARE VERY, VERY TIRED OF BEING PERSECUTED!**

AGAIN , THIS PROPOSAL MUST BE KILLED EVEN BEFORE IT COMES TO A VOTE BEFORE THE CONGRESS. AS OUR REPRESENTATIVES IN CONGRESS WE ARE LOOKING TO YOU TO SEE THAT THIS IS DONE! We will be keeping in touch and watching.

Yours in Christ,

James Davis

cc: FCC, everyone on my e-mail address list

Stephanie Kost

From: Janet Baker [janet.baker@bellsouth.com]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 12:55 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: 2 issues

Janet Baker
1503 Hunters Club Lane
Norcross, GA 30093-5263

October 1, 2004

Kevin J. Martin

445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Kevin Martin:

I appreciate your taking serious the concerns of viewers offended by indecent content and language on radio and television. I urge you to continue to be aggressive in punishing TV networks and individuals who run afoul of laws governing broadcast indecency.

I also want to let you know I oppose the "a la carte cable regulation" that would be devastating to religious broadcasters, and also much more expensive for consumers to be able to receive the channels that they receive now with the basic packages. I will be writing to our representatives as well on this subject.

thank you for your consideration and your hard work!

Sincerely,

Robert & Janet Baker

Stephanie Kost

From: Jerry [dharmaspirit@isp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2004 11:15 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Cable a la carte proposition...

I wanted to write to you to urge you to consider the a la carte pricing to cable companies. I hope this letter can convey why a la carte pricing should be made available to all cable companies.

Our family currently get 11 channels of local stations from our cable company for \$11 per month. The next tier up is an additional \$24.95 for expanded basic which includes about 45 additional channels. Out of those channels many are not suitable for my children, and unwanted. For our family to be able to chose a cable news channel, or the Discovery channel we are forced to buy this expanded basic package for \$24.95 on top of the \$11 we currently pay. After taxes and other 'fees' the price of our cable bill would go up to \$40. This is entirely too much money to pay for what we would desire.

My family consist of myself (a single father) and two young children. We have limited means but take care of ourselves. Like many single family households, we have to keep our bills down, which means keeping our entertainment budget to a minimum. To expose my children to the educational benefits of some channels like Discovery or to keep abreast of the news with a cable channel should not have to be for people of higher means. Also, to have to be subjected to the other undesirable channels in a higher tier in tier pricing is unfair.

From my understanding on your website on FAQ on cable, cable operators cannot force a basic subscriber from having to purchase expanded basic tier to be allowed to purchase pay for view movies. That is a la carte for the movie industry. It does not seem fair then, that the channels like discovery, cnn, or fox news should not have the same rules.

A la carte pricing for all channels after basic cable tier of local channels would make cable more affordable to families like mine. Cable should be for all people of all means. Cable does have the power to help educate and inform. The system in place now in place does not meet that goal.

I do thank you for your time,

Jerry Smelgus

Stephanie Kost

From: Angela Kernozeck [akernozeck@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozeck
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments
13521 Woodruff Ave
Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozeck
562-866-7663
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments

Stephanie Kost

From: Angela Kernozeck [akernozeck@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozeck
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments
13521 Woodruff Ave
Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozeck
562-866-7663
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments

Stephanie Kost

From: Angela Kernozek [akernozek@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozek
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments
13521 Woodruff Ave
Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozek
562-866-7663
Manager
Carlton Park Apartments

04-207

Stephanie Kost

From: A.J. Hicks [ajhicks@sprintpcs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:12 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

A.J. Hicks
2327 Lawn Ave
Kansas City, MO 64127

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anneatrica Hicks

Stephanie Kost

From: A.J. Hicks [ajhicks@sprintpcs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:12 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

A.J. Hicks
2327 Lawn Ave
Kansas City, MO 64127

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anneatrica Hicks

Stephanie Kost

From: A.J. Hicks [ajhicks@sprintpcs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:12 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

A.J. Hicks
2327 Lawn Ave
Kansas City, MO 64127

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anneatrica Hicks

Stephanie Kost

From: A.J. Hicks [ajhicks@sprintpcs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:12 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

A.J. Hicks
2327 Lawn Ave
Kansas City, MO 64127

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anneatrica Hicks

Stephanie Kost

From: a2jshell@juno.com
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2004 12:36 AM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein
Cc: Jonathan Adelstein

We just sent a letter to our Arizona Representative, The Honorable Jeff Flake, asking him to oppose the "A La Carte Cable Regulation."

We are asking you to do the same.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Shelley and Dan Johnson

Stephanie Kost

From: Adele Upton [AUPTON1952@MIDSOUTH.RR.COM]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 5:40 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Adele Upton
1061 McGowan Drive
SOUTHAVEN, MISSISSIPPI 38671

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Adele Upton
901-497-1999

Stephanie Kost

From: Adele Upton [AUPTON1952@MIDSOUTH.RR.COM]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 5:40 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Adele Upton
1061 McGowan Drive
SOUTHAVEN, MISSISSIPPI 38671

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Adele Upton
901-497-1999

Stephanie Kost

From: Adele Upton [AUPTON1952@MIDSOUTH.RR.COM]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 5:40 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Adele Upton
1061 McGowan Drive
SOUTHAVEN, MISSISSIPPI 38671

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Adele Upton
901-497-1999

Stephanie Kost

From: Adele Upton [AUPTON1952@MIDSOUTH.RR.COM]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 5:40 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Adele Upton
1061 McGowan Drive
SOUTHAVEN, MISSISSIPPI 38671

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Adele Upton
901-497-1999

Stephanie Kost

From: Adele Upton [AUPTON1952@MIDSOUTH.RR.COM]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 5:40 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Adele Upton
1061 McGowan Drive
SOUTHAVEN, MISSISSIPPI 38671

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Adele Upton
901-497-1999